PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

an

PCT/EP2009/053907

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING ABILITY

ABILITY

Binger Str. 173

55216 Ingelheim Am Rhein

Eingang CD Patents

Attemagne TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY) (PCT Rule 44bis.1(c)) 2010 Date of mailing (day/month/year) 14 October 2010 (14.10.2010) Les: SdT No: erledigi Applicant's or agent's file reference P01-2363/WO IMPORTANT NOTICE International application No. PCT/EP2009/053907 International filing date (day/month/year) 01 April 2009 (01.04.2009) Priority date (day/month/year) 02 April 2008 (02.04.2008) Applicant

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM INTERNATIONAL GMBH et al

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Yolaine Cussac

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

e-mail: pt05.pct@wipo.int

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P01-2363/WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
	International filing date (day/month/year) 01 April 2009 (01.04.2009)	Priority date (day/month/year) 02 April 2008 (02.04.2008)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237					
Applicant BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM INTERNATIONAL GMBH					

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet. In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.				
, 3 .	This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
	X	Box No. 1	Basis of the report		
		Box No. II	Priority		
		Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
		Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	X	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI Certain documents cited		Certain documents cited		
	\boxtimes	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application		
		Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application		
4°.	The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).				

Date of issuance of this report 05 October 2010 (05.10.2010)	
Authorized officer Yolaine Cussac	
e-mail: pt05.pct@wipo.int	

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEA				
To:				PCT
see form	PCT/ISA/220			VRITTEN OPINION OF THE TIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)
			Date of mailing (day/month/yea	ser) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
Applicant's or agent's file see form PCT/ISA/2		9. 95	FOR FURT	HER ACTION
International application PCT/EP2009/05390		-	lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 02.04.2008
International Patent Clas INV, C07D487/04 A	sification (IPC) or both national c 61K31/519	lassification a	and IPC	
Applicant BOEHRINGER ING	ELHEIM INTERNATIONAL	L GMBH		
Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Compared to the applicant che international Bur will not be so contined the service of	Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under applicability; citations and e Certain documents cited Certain defects in the intern Certain observations on the ON International preliminary examples an Authority other than eau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) the insidered.	Rule 43 <i>bis</i> xplanations ational app internation is not recommended to the control of the contro	rd to novelty, in 1(a)(i) with regisupporting such lication al application rade, this opining Authority ("IPI be the IPEA are	nventive step and industrial applicability and to novelly, inventive step or industrial ch statement on will usually be considered to be a EA") except that this does not apply where nd the chosen iPEA has notifed the nternational Searching Authority of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to
submit to the IPE from the date of whichever expire	EA a written reply together, w mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 as later.	here approp	oriate, with ame	endments, before the expiration of 3 months f 22 months from the priority date,
	ns, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Is, see notes to Form PCT/IS/	A/220.		•
•				
Name and mailing addre	ss of the ISA:	Date of co	mpletion of n	Authorized Officer
D-80298 A Tel. +49 8		see form PCT/ISA/2		Gregoire, Ariane Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2994

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2009/053907

			·			
	Во	x No. I	Basis of the opinion			
1	. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	\boxtimes	the inte	emational application in the language in which it was filed	-		
		a trans purpos	slation of the international application into , which is the language of a translesses of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).	ation furnished for the		
2:		This or by or n	pinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obviousified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))	ous mistake authorized		
. З.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. t	ype of m	naterial:			
	1	□ a se	equence listing			
	e 1	□ · table	e(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. fo	ormat of	material:			
	[□ on p	paper	•		
,	E	J in el	lectronic form	•		
•	c. ti	me of fili	ing/furnishing:			
	E	□ cont	tained in the international application as filed.	; R		
**;	Ė] filed	together with the international application in electronic form.			
	·E		ished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
				1)(i		
4.	•	copies	tion, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and the filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsetties it is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application, were furnished.	tound or additional		
5.	Addi	itional co	omments:			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. - PCT/EP2009/053907

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

3-13, 15-18, 28

No: Claims

1, 2, 14, 19-27

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

3-13, 15-18, 28

No: Claims

1, 2, 14, 19-27

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

Claims

No:

1-28

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Claim 27 relates to a subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provision of Rule 39.1(iv)/67.1(iv) PCT.

The patentability can be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognise as patentable claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow claims to a product, in particular substances or compositions for in a first or further medical treatment.

1) Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: WO 2004/099210 A (BAYER HEALTHCARE AG [DE]; HENDRIX MARTIN [DE]; BAERFACKER LARS [DE]; E) 18 November 2004 (2004-11-18) cited in the application
- D2: WO 2004/099211 A (BAYER HEALTHCARE AG [DE]; HENDRIX MARTIN [DE]; BAERFACKER LARS [DE]; E) 18 November 2004 (2004-11-18)
- D3: WO 2004/018474 A (BAYER HEALTHCARE AG [DE]; HENDRIX MARTIN [DE]; BOESS FRANK-GERHARD [DE) 4 March 2004 (2004-03-04)
- D4: WO 2004/096811 A (PFIZER PROD INC [US]; BELL ANDREW SIMON [GB]; DENINNO MICHAEL PAUL [US) 11 November 2004 (2004-11-11) cited in the application
- D5: WO 2004/026876 A (BAYER HEALTHCARE AG [DE]; HENDRIX MARTIN [DE]; BOESS FRANK-GERHARD [DE) 1 April 2004 (2004-04-01)

2) Novelty (Art. 33 (1) and (2) PCT) :

D1 and D2 disclose compounds (see claim 1 in both documents wherein R2 is heteroaryl optionally substituted by hydroxy which correspond to a present heterocyclyl group substituted by oxo, see item VII below) having the same activity and falling in the scope of present claim 1, 2, 14, 19-27.

D3 and D5 disclose compounds having the same activity and a closely related structure. They differ however in that no heterocyclic group is present on position 1 of the pyrazolo ring but a pentanyl or a cycloalkyl group.

D4 discloses PDE9 inhibitors overlapping those presently claimed since R3 can be

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2009/053907

heterocycloalkyl. None of the example of D4 destroys the novelty of the present application. The present compounds could be considered as a novel selection over those of D4.

3) Inventive Step (Art. 33 (1) and (3) PCT):

D3 is considered as the closest prior art since it discloses compounds having the same activity and differing from those presently claimed in the definition of the group equivalent to the present H group.

The technical problem underlying the present application is the provision of further compounds active as PDE9A2 inhibitors.

The replacement of the group cycloalkyl by an heterocyclic group is considered as obvious because of the teaching of D4 , which clearly discloses the equivalency of the group cycloalkyl, heterocycloalkyl, aryl and heteroaryl for this family of compounds. No unexpected advantage is disclosed so far for the claimed compounds so that the requirements of the Art. 33(3) PCT are not considered as fulfilled.

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

The group "heterocyclyl" defining Hc should be or comprise a non-aromatic ring according to claim 1 but can also be substituted by an oxo group as indicated in the list defining R². This means that an aromatic ring is still possible e.g. in the case of a dihydroimidazole ring as that mentioned on page 308 second line. A tautomeric form of this structure reveals an imidazole ring (aromatic) substituted by an hydroxy group. The present definition of the compounds of formula I does thus not fulfill the requirements of Art. 6 PCT.